

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

MDL Case No. 3:17-md-02801-JD

Case No. 17-cv-07046-JD

VERDICT FORM

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions submitted to us, and we return them as our verdict in this case:

Question No. 1:

Did Avnet prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the instructions given to you, that defendant Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation (NCC) knowingly participated in the alleged conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize the prices of aluminum and/or tantalum capacitors in the United States?

YES NO

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Question No. 2:

Did Avnet prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the instructions given to you, that defendant United Chemi-Con Inc. (UCC) knowingly participated in the alleged conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize the prices of aluminum and/or tantalum capacitors in the United States?

YES NO

If you answered “Yes” to either Question 1 or Question 2, then continue to Question 3.

If you answered “No” to both Question 1 and Question 2, then do not answer any other questions; go to the end of the form.

Question No. 3:

Did Avnet prove, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the instructions given to you, that it paid more for aluminum and/or tantalum capacitors in the United States than it would have without the conspiracy?

YES NO

If you answered “Yes” to Question 3, then continue to Question 4.

If you answered “No” to Question 3, then do not answer any other questions; go to the end of the form.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Question No. 4:

What is the amount of damages Avnet proved, by a preponderance of the evidence and in accordance with the instructions given to you, that it is entitled to recover?

\$ 89,244,000

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the courtroom deputy that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

DATED: 5/22/23

Signed:



Presiding Juror